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## Electrical Trades Apprenticeship and Trainee Applicants Dr Andrew Armstrong

Additional normative data for the ACER Test of Employment Entry Mathematics (TEEM), derived from an Australian reference sample of electrical trades apprenticeship and trainee applicants, is presented in this supplement.

## Sample Characteristics

The reference sample comprised 321 persons who applied for apprenticeships or traineeships within a large Australian electricity company in 2007. Apprenticeships applied to the roles of communications technician, electrical meter technician, power systems electrician, and powerline worker. Traineeships applied to the roles of electrical tester and technical officer.

Sex data are provided in Table 1. Age data were not available.
Table 1. Reference Sample by Sex

| Gender | Number of participants |
| :---: | :---: |
| Male | 303 |
| Female | 6 |
| Missing data | 12 |

$N=321$.
The data in Table 1 shows that the reference sample were male with very few exceptions.

## Performance of Electrical Trades Apprenticeship and Trainee Applicants on the TEEM

Summary performance statistics for the reference sample are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Summary Performance Statistics for Electrical Trades Apprenticeship and Trainee Applicants on the TEEM.

|  | Min | Max | Mean | Standard. <br> Deviation | Percentage of <br> Items Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEEM | 0 | 32 | 23.74 | 4.94 | $74 \%$ |

$N=321$.

The data in Table 2 indicate that the average applicant scored quite highly on the ACER TEEM. It was common for prospective electrical trades apprentices and trainees to correctly determine $74 \%$ of the answers. This is $11 \%$ higher than the apprenticeship applicant sample ( $\mathrm{n}=3,267$ ) from which the original TEEM norms were derived, and $14 \%$ higher than a further year 10 technical school student sample ( $\mathrm{n}=96$; Australian Council for Educational Research, 1992).

Table 3 below contains the data necessary to convert TEEM raw scores into percentage of items correct and percentile ranks. The data allow the performance of test-takers to be ranked in relation to the current reference group.

Table 3. Score Conversion data for the TEEM

| Raw Score | *Percentage of Items Correct | *Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3 | <1 |
| 2 | 6 | <1 |
| 3 | 9 | <1 |
| 4 | 13 | <1 |
| 5 | 16 | <1 |
| 6 | 19 | <1 |
| 7 | 22 | 1 |
| 8 | 25 | 1 |
| 9 | 28 | 1 |
| 10 | 31 | 1 |
| 11 | 34 | 1 |
| 12 | 38 | 1 |
| 13 | 41 | 3 |
| 14 | 44 | 4 |
| 15 | 47 | 6 |
| 16 | 50 | 8 |
| 17 | 53 | 9 |
| 18 | 56 | 11 |
| 19 | 59 | 13 |
| 20 | 63 | 18 |
| 21 | 66 | 23 |
| 22 | 69 | 29 |
| 23 | 72 | 37 |
| 24 | 75 | 43 |
|  |  | (Continued on next page.) |


| Raw Score | *Percentage of Items Correct | *Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 78 | 50 |
| 26 | 81 | 58 |
| 27 | 84 | 67 |
| 28 | 88 | 77 |
| 29 | 91 | 84 |
| 30 | 94 | 91 |
| 31 | 97 | 96 |
| 32 | 100 | 98 |

$N=321 .{ }^{*}$ Rounded to nearest whole figure
Looking at Table 3, it can be seen that a raw score of 16 out of 32 equates to $50 \%$ of items answered correctly. 16/32 equates to the $8^{\text {th }}$ percentile rank. This means that only eight percent of the reference sample scored lower than this, while ninety-two percent scored equal to or higher than 16/32.

In terms of basic mathematical ability, an employer wishing to screen out the less-able half of prospective electrical trades apprentices and trainees would use a raw score of $25 / 32$ or $78 \%$ of items answered correctly as the cut-off. Fifty percent of the reference group scored lower than this, while fifty percent scored equal to 25/32 or higher.

## Score Classification Ranges for the TEEM

Below in Table 4, reference group raw scores and percentile ranks are converted into the classification ranges commonly applied to measures of ability. While less precise than percentile rankings, these ranges allow the performance of any TEEM test-taker to be classified and discussed in common terms, in relation to the reference sample.

Table 4. TEEM raw scores and percentile ranks by classification range

| Classification range | Percentile Ranks | Raw scores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely low | 1 to 4 | 1 to 14 |
| Very low | 5 to 11 | 15 to 18 |
| Below average | 12 to 23 | 19 to 21 |
| Slightly below average | 24 to 40 | 22 to 23 |
| Average | 41 to 60 | 24 to 26 |
| Slightly above average | 61 to 77 | 27 to 28 |
| Above average | 78 to 89 | 29 |
| Well above average | 90 to 96 | 30 to 31 |
| Superior | 97 to 100 | 32 |

Looking at Table 4, it can be seen that a raw score of 29 falls in the 'Above average' range. Persons scoring in this range are likely to very comfortable in performing basic mathematical tasks.
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